1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 11 JOSE J. VERA MARTINEZ, Case No.: 1:22-cv-01282-SKO (HC) 12 Petitioner. ORDER DISMISSING PETITION WITH LEAVE TO FILE AN AMENDED PETITION 13 v. ORDER DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT TO 14 WARDEN, FCI-MENDOTA, PROVIDE BLANK FORMS FOR FILING A § 2241 **HABEAS ACTION** 15 Respondent. 16 [30-DAY DEADLINE] 17 18 Petitioner is a federal prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with a petition for writ 19 of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. He filed the instant petition on October 7, 2022, 20 challenging his conviction. Upon review of the petition, the Court finds that the petition should be 21 dismissed for: 1) failure to state a claim; and 2) failure to sign the petition on penalty of perjury. 22 Petitioner will be granted an opportunity to file an amended petition to cure the deficiencies. 23 **BACKGROUND** 24 Preliminary Review of Petition Rule 4 of the Rules Governing § 2254 Cases<sup>1</sup> requires the Court to make a preliminary review 25 26 of each petition for writ of habeas corpus. The Court must dismiss a petition "[i]f it plainly appears 27 28 <sup>1</sup> The Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States Courts (Habeas Rules) are appropriately applied to proceedings undertaken pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. Habeas Rule 1(b).

## Case 1:22-cv-01282-SKO Document 6 Filed 10/24/22 Page 2 of 3

from the petition . . . that the petitioner is not entitled to relief." Rule 4 of the Rules Governing § 2254 1 2 Cases; see also Hendricks v. Vasquez, 908 F.2d 490, 491 (9th Cir. 1990). A petition for habeas corpus should not be dismissed without leave to amend unless it appears that no tenable claim for relief can 3 be pleaded were such leave granted. Jarvis v. Nelson, 440 F.2d 13, 14 (9th Cir. 1971). 4 5 Failure to State a Claim for Relief The basic scope of habeas corpus is prescribed by statute. Title 28 U.S.C. § 2241(c)(3) 6 provides that the writ of habeas corpus shall not extend to a prisoner unless "[h]e is in custody in 7 8 violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States." The Supreme Court has held that "the essence of habeas corpus is an attack by a person in custody upon the legality of that custody 9 10 ..." Preiser v. Rodriguez, 411 U.S. 475, 484 (1973). In addition to the above, Rule 2(c) of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases<sup>2</sup> requires that 11 12 the petition: (1) Specify all the grounds for relief available to the petitioner: 13 (2) State the facts supporting each ground;

- (3) State the relief requested:

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- (4) Be printed, typewritten, or legibly handwritten; and
- (5) Be signed under penalty of perjury by the petitioner or by a person authorized to sign it for the petitioner under 28 U.S.C. § 2242.

Further, 28 U.S.C. § 2242 requires a petitioner to allege the facts concerning the petitioner's commitment or detention.

Petitioner has filed a deficient petition. Petitioner claims he is actually innocent of violating § 1326(a) and (b). However, the petition is absent of any facts regarding his conviction. He provides no specifics on his conviction, such as: 1) the court of conviction; 2) the date of conviction; 3) the crimes of which he was convicted<sup>3</sup>; 4) the sentence received; or 5) when he expects to be released. Further, Petitioner provides no information as to any further relief he has sought, such as any appeals he has

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States Courts (Habeas Rules) are appropriately applied to proceedings undertaken pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. Habeas Rule 1(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Petitioner states he is actually innocent of § 1326(a) and (b), but he provides no further information. The Court assumes he was convicted of violating § 1326(a) and (b), but Petitioner does not state this as a fact, nor does he inform whether this was his only crime of conviction.

## 

| 1  | filed or any motions/petitions for collateral relief, whether in the court of conviction or court of      |
|----|---|
| 2  | custody. Without this information, the Court cannot determine whether it has jurisdiction, under          |
| 3  | which code section it has jurisdiction, and whether the petition states a cognizable claim. Most          |
| 4  | certainly, the Court cannot determine whether Petitioner satisfies the savings clause as set forth in 28  |
| 5  | U.S.C. § 2255.  |
| 6  | III. Failure to Sign Petition   |
| 7  | Local Rule 131 requires a document submitted to the Court for filing to include an original               |
| 8  | signature. In addition, Rule 2(c)(5) of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases requires a petition for    |
| 9  | writ of habeas corpus to "be signed under penalty of perjury by the petitioner." Here, Petitioner has     |
| 10 | failed to sign the petition under penalty of perjury. Petitioner may cure this deficiency when filing his |
| 11 | amended petition.   |
| 12 | ORDER   |
| 13 | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the petition for writ of habeas corpus is DISMISSED with                        |
| 14 | leave to amend. Petitioner is GRANTED thirty (30) days from the date of service of this Order to file     |
| 15 | an amended petition. The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to provide Petitioner with blank forms for            |
| 16 | filing a § 2241 habeas action. Petitioner is forewarned that failure to comply with the Court's order     |
| 17 | will result in a recommendation that the petition be dismissed.   |
| 18 |   |
| 19 | IT IS SO ORDERED.   |
| 20 | Dated: October 21, 2022 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto  |
| 21 | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  |
| 22 |   |
| 23 |   |
| 24 |   |
| 25 |   |
| 26 |   |
| 27 |   |
| 28 |   |